#### Final Report to Delaware Soybean Board

#### Delaware Soybean Board (susanne@hammondmedia.com)

## Evaluating the Response of Full Season and Double Cropped Soybeans to Various Soil Moisture Levels

#### Cory Whaley, James Adkins, and Phillip Sylvester

#### **OBJECTIVES**

- 1) Evaluate the effects of various soil moisture levels and row widths on growth and yield of full season and double cropped soybeans.
- 2) Determine the optimal irrigation management strategy for full season and double cropped soybeans to maximize yield and profitability.
- 3) Determine the optimal row width for irrigated full season and double cropped soybeans to maximize yield and profitability.

#### FOUR YEAR AVERAGE YIELD TREND

In research conducted at the University of Delaware Warrington Irrigation Research Farm from 2012 to 2015, trends in soybean response to irrigation strategies are developing. Averaged over three years, there were only slight differences in yield between irrigation strategies in both full season (*Table 1a*) and double cropped (*Table 1b*) soybeans. However, there is a trend that full season and double cropped soybeans may require different irrigation strategies to maximize yield. In full season soybeans, yield with strategies of limited irrigation (>30% soil moisture) to later growth stages were comparable or higher than strategies that provided full irrigation (>50% soil moisture) all season (*Table 1a*). In double cropped soybeans, yield with strategies that provided full irrigation (>50% soil moisture) all season and limited (>30% soil moisture) or no irrigation until R1/R2 were typically slightly higher than strategies of limited irrigation (>30% soil moisture) to later growth stages (*Table 1b*).

In 2015 studies, new treatments were included to determine if irrigation could be completely delayed until later growth stages (R3/R4 and R5/R6). In the full season study, no irrigation until R3/R4 was the top yielding treatment; however, there was a noticeable yield decrease by completely delaying irrigation until R5/R6 (*Table 1a*). In the double crop study, the no irrigation until R3/R4 treatment was comparable to top yielding treatments; however, the no irrigation until R5/R6 treatment yielded significantly less than all other irrigation treatments and was equal to the no irrigation treatment (*Table 1b*). Based on the research results from 2015, there is a possibility to completely delay irrigation until at least R3/R4 growth stages.

	Yield							
Irrigation Treatment <sup>1</sup>	$3 \text{ yr Avg}^2$	$4 \text{ yr avg}^3$	2012	2013	2014	2015		
	bu/A							
No Irr.	$65 e^4$	56 c	54 c	69 abc	73 b	25 c		
No Irr. to $R1/R2$ then $>50\%$	70 bc	71 ab	63 b	71 ab	76 ab	74 ab		
No Irr. to $R3/R4$ then $>50\%$						80 a		
No Irr. to R5/R6 then >50%						68 b		
Limited Irr. to R1/R2 then >50%	70 abc	72 a	67 ab	69 abc	75 ab	76 ab		
Limited Irr. to R3/R4 then >50%	72 a	73 a	66 ab	73 a	77 a	73 ab		
Limited Irr. to R5/R6 then >50%	72 ab	72 a	70 a	71 ab	73 b	72 b		
Limited Irr. to R1/R2 then >50% to	70 bc		63 b	71 ab	75 ab			
R3/R4 then >70%								
Full Season Irr. >30%						77 ab		
Full Season Irr. >50%	67 de	68 b	63 b	65 d	74 ab	75 ab		
KanSched2 (ET) >50%	68 cd		65 ab	66 cd	73 b			

*Table 1a.* Full Season Study – Irrigation treatment effect on soybean yield averaged over 3 and 4 years and in 2012, 2013, 2014, and 2015.

<sup>2</sup>Data combined from 2012, 2013, and 2014.

<sup>3</sup>Data combined from 2012, 2013, 2014, and 2015.

<sup>4</sup>Treatment means followed by the same letter are not significantly different.

*Table 1b.* **Double Cropped Study** – Irrigation treatment effect on soybean yield averaged over 3 and 4 years and in 2012, 2013, 2014, and 2015.

	Yield						
Irrigation Treatment <sup>1</sup>	$3 \text{ yr Avg}^2$	$4 \text{ yr avg}^3$	2012	2013	2014	2015	
			bu/A	<i>۱</i>			
No Irr.	$44 d^2$	44 d	58 a	31 e	42 d	40 b	
No Irr. to $R1/R2$ then $>50\%$	51 bc	53 ab	58 a	42 bc	52 bc	50 a	
No Irr. to $R3/R4$ then $>50\%$						50 a	
No Irr. to $R5/R6$ then $>50\%$						40 b	
Limited Irr. to R1/R2 then >50%	53 a	53 ab	61 a	42 abc	55 a	53 a	
Limited Irr. to R3/R4 then >50%	49 c	50 c	59 a	39 cd	50 c	52 a	
Limited Irr. to R5/R6 then >50%	50 bc	51 bc	59 a	38 d	54 ab	51 a	
Limited Irr. to R1/R2 then >50% to R3/R4 then >70%	50 c		59 a	37 d	53 ab		
Full Season Irr. >30%						52 a	
Full Season Irr. >50%	53 a	55 a	60 a	45 a	54 ab	52 a	
KanSched2 (ET) >50%	52 ab		59 a	43 ab	55 a		

<sup>1</sup>Treatments with limited irrigation were kept at >30% available soil moisture (0% moisture = dry; 100% moisture = wet).

<sup>2</sup>Data combined from 2012, 2013, and 2014.

<sup>3</sup>Data combined from 2012, 2013, 2014, and 2015.

<sup>4</sup>Treatment means followed by the same letter are not significantly different.

## INDIVIDUAL SUMMARY OF 2012, 2013, 2014, and 2015 TRIALS

### 2015 (4<sup>th</sup> year of trials).

In 2015, rainfall was above average in June (6.00"), average in September (4.20"), and below average in July (2.50") and August (2.25"). Rainfall total from July 1 to September 9 was 4.75", which averaged 0.07" per day over that time period. Rainfall total from August 12 to September 9 was only 0.21", which averaged 0.01" per day over that time period.

In the full season soybean study, soybeans were planted on May 27. The amount of water applied based on the irrigation strategy ranged from 5.3" to 9.6". Average yield in plots that received irrigation ranged from 68 to 80 bu/A compared to 25 bu/A in plots that received no irrigation. The irrigation strategy that produced the greatest yield (80 bu/A) was when no irrigation was applied until R3/R4 then applied to maintain available soil moisture >50% until maturity. However, yield attained by this irrigation strategy was only statistically different from the no irrigation treatment (25 bu/A) and strategies that applied no irrigation until R5/R6 then >50% available moisture to maturity (68 bu/A) and limited irrigation to R5/R6 then >50% soil moisture to maturity (72 bu/A).

In the double crop study, soybeans were planted on July 8. The amount of water applied based on the irrigation strategy ranged from 2.4" to 6.6". Average yield in plots that received irrigation ranged from 40 to 53 bu/A compared to 40 bu/A in plots that received no irrigation. All irrigation strategies, except no irrigation to R5/R6 then >50% soil moisture to maturity (40 bu/A), yielded similarly from 50 to 53 bu/A. With the lack of rainfall in August, waiting to start irrigation until R5/R6 significantly reduced yields compared to starting irrigation before R3/R4.

**2014** ( $3^{rd}$  year of trials). In 2014, rainfall was above average in July (6.76") and August (5.76"), but below average in June (2.05") and September (3.99"). In June, July, and August, rainfall in the last 2 weeks of each month was less than 0.88 in. There was a 4 week period from 8/16 to 9/15, where Harbeson received only 1.56" of rainfall.

In the full season soybean study, soybeans were planted on May 20. Average yield in plots that received irrigation ranged from 73 to 77 bu/A compared to 73 bu/A in plots that received no irrigation. There was no significant difference between any irrigation treatments this year. The amount of water applied based on the irrigation strategy ranged from 1.9 in. to 9.0 in. Soybeans planted in 7.5 in., 15 in., and 30 in. row widths yielded similarly to each irrigation strategy, but there was a slight yield difference between row widths. Average soybean yield was 76 bu/A in 7.5 in. rows, 74 bu/A in 15 in. rows, and 74 bu/A in 30 in. rows.

In the double crop study, soybeans were planted on July 9. Average yield in plots that received irrigation ranged from 50 to 55 bu/A compared to 42 bu/A in plots that received no irrigation. The amount of water applied based on the irrigation strategy ranged from 3.4 in. to 5.4 in. There was only a slight difference in yield between irrigated treatments. Soybeans planted in 7.5 in., 15 in., and 30 in. row widths yielded similarly to each irrigation strategy, but there was an overall yield difference between row widths. Average soybean yield was 56 bu/A in 7.5 in.rows, 51 bu/A in 15 in. rows, and 50 bu/A in 30 in. rows

**2013** (2<sup>nd</sup> year of trials). In 2013, rainfall totals in June (10.4"), July (6.9"), August (6.5") and October (6.1") were above average, but rainfall was well below average in September (0.7").

In the full season soybean study, soybeans were planted on May 19. Average yield in plots that received irrigation ranged from 65 to 73 bu/A compared to 69 bu/A in plots that received no irrigation. The amount of water applied based on the irrigation strategy ranged from 4.3" to 7.0". The irrigation strategy that produced the greatest yield (73 bu/A) was when irrigation was applied at a limited amount (>30% available moisture) until the R3/R4 growth stage and then applied to maintain available soil moisture >50% until maturity and was also the irrigation strategy with the least amount of water applied (4.3"). However, yield attained by this irrigation strategy was not statistically different from the no irrigation treatment and strategies that applied no irrigation until R1/R2 and limited irrigation to R1/R2 and R5/R6, where yields ranged from 69 to 71 bu/A. The two irrigation strategies, full season irrigation (65 bu/A) and an ET based program (66 bu/A), that maintained soil moisture >50% all season were the only two strategies that produced less yield than the no irrigation treatment. Soybeans planted in 7.5", 15", and 30" row widths. Average soybean yield was 72.8 bu/A in 7.5" rows, 68.6 bu/A in 15" rows, and 66.4 bu/A in 30" rows.

In the double crop study, soybeans were planted on July 19. Average yield in plots that received irrigation ranged from 38 to 45 bu/A compared to 31 bu/A in plots that received no irrigation. The amount of water applied based on the irrigation strategy ranged from 4.7" to 6.7". The irrigation strategy that produced the greatest yield (45 bu/A) was when irrigation was applied to maintain soil moisture >50% all season. Yield from irrigation strategies where soil moisture was maintained >50% available moisture at R1/R2 until maturity, except the treatment of limited irrigation to R1/R2 then >50% available moisture to R3/R4 then >70% available moisture to maturity, yielded similarly at 42 to 45 bu/A. The limited irrigation to R3/R4 then >50% available moisture to maturity, limited irrigation to R5/R6 then >50% available moisture to maturity, and limited irrigation to R1/R2 then >50% available moisture to R3/R4 then >70% available moisture to maturity, strategies produced the lowest yields at 39, 38, and 37 bu/A, respectively. Soybeans planted in 7.5", 15", and 30" row widths yielded similarly to each irrigation strategy, but there was an overall yield difference between row widths. Average soybean yield was 41 bu/A in 7.5" rows, 41 bu/A in 15" rows, and 37 bu/A in 30" rows.

**2012** (1<sup>st</sup> year of trials). In 2012, rainfall totals in May (0.5"), June (2.5"), July (2.5"), and September (2.8") were below average, but rainfall was well above average in August (10.6").

In the full season study, average yield in plots that received irrigation ranged from 63 to 70 bu/A compared to 54 bu/A in plots that received no irrigation. The amount of water applied based on the irrigation strategy ranged from 5.3" to 9.6". The irrigation strategy that produced the greatest yield (70 bu/A) was when irrigation was applied at a reduced amount (>30% available soil moisture) until the R5/R6 growth stage and then >50% available soil moisture until maturity. This irrigation strategy also required the least amount of water applied (5.3"). There was no yield advantage in irrigating to maintain >50% available soil moisture until Mid-August this year. Soybeans in all row widths responded similarly to each irrigation strategy, but there was an overall yield difference between row widths. Average soybean yield was 67 bu/A in 15" rows, 64 bu/A in 7" rows, and 61 bu/A in 30" rows.

In the double crop study, average yield in plots that received irrigation ranged from 58 to 61 bu/A compared to 58 bu/A in plots that received no irrigation. The amount of water applied based on the irrigation strategy ranged from 2.2" to 6.6". The above average rainfall in August had a significant effect on soybean yield. Soybeans in all row widths responded similarly to each irrigation strategy, but there was an overall yield difference between row widths. Yield was greatest in the 15" rows at 64 bu/A, followed by the 30" rows at 58 bu/A, and then the 7" rows at 55 bu/A. Final stand in the 7" rows was 107,000 plants/A compared to 169,522 plants/A in the 15" rows, and 154,427 plants/A in the 30" rows. The reduced plant stand in the 7" rows compared to the 15" and 30" rows may have limited yield potential.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

Two studies were conducted in 2015 to determine the response of full season and double cropped soybeans to various soil moisture levels and row widths. Both studies were conducted under a variable rate four tower center pivot irrigation system located on the University of Delaware's Warrington Irrigation Research Farm in Harbeson, DE.

**Treatments.** In both projects, the plots measured 60 ft by 60 ft. Each plot received one of the following irrigation treatments. All treatments were replicated five times.

### Irrigation Treatments:

- 1. No irrigation.
- 2. No irrigation until flowering (R1 to R2) then >50% soil moisture.
- 3. No irrigation until pod development (R3 to R4) then >50% moisture.
- 4. No irrigation until seed development (R5 to R6) then >50% soil moisture.
- 5. Limited irrigation (>30% soil moisture) until flowering (R1 to R2) then >50% soil moisture.
- 6. Limited irrigation (>30% soil moisture) until pod development (R3 to R4) then >50% moisture.
- Limited irrigation (>30% soil moisture) until seed development (R5 to R6) then >50% soil moisture.
- 8. Full season irrigation (>30% soil moisture throughout the season).
- 9. Full season irrigation (>50% soil moisture throughout the season).

**Field Operations**. The entire study area was treated identically for all production inputs except irrigation. Fertilizer was applied based on the University of Delaware recommendations for soybean. In the full season study, soybeans were grown under conventional tillage practices, whereas soybeans in the double crop study were planted no-till into small grain stubble following wheat harvest. Soybeans in both studies were planted in 15 in. rows with a Monosem planter. Planting dates, soybean varieties, seeding rates, pesticide applications, and harvest dates for both studies are presented in *Table 2*.

Operation	Full Season Study	Double Crop Study
Planting Date	5/27/15	7/8/15
Variety	Asgrow 4232	Asgrow 4232
Target Seeding Rate/A	155,000	200,000
Pesticide Applications		
Canopy 4 oz/A	5/27/15	
Glyphosate 30 oz/A + Canopy 4 oz/A		7/8/15
Glyphosate 30 oz/A + Reflex 1.5 pt/A	6/24/15	8/10/15
Priaxor 6 oz/A + Hero 10.3 oz/A	8/14/15	
Harvest Date	11/16/15	11/16/15

*Table 2.* Planting date, variety, seeding rate, pesticide applications, and harvest date for the full season and double crop soybean studies.

*Soil Moisture Monitoring to Trigger Irrigation Treatments.* Soil moisture was monitored in each plot using Watermark soil moisture sensors placed at 4 in., 10 in., and 16 in. below the soil line. A Watermark 950T transmitter was used at all moisture monitoring locations to wirelessly transmit data to a Watermark 950R data logging receiver. Moisture data was viewed and interpreted daily to determine if any treatments required irrigation. Irrigation was applied to plots when soil moisture at the 4 in. or 10 in. depth reached the specific irrigation treatment requirement.

**Data Collected.** In-season growth stages (*Table 3*), plant heights, and NDVI (Normalized Difference Vegetation Index) were recorded on multiple dates. In addition, lodging and stem breakage were recorded at harvest. Soybean yield, moisture, and test weight were determined by harvesting the middle rows of each plot with a Massey Ferguson 8XP plot combine. Soybean yield was adjusted to 13% moisture.

**Data Analysis.** Data was analyzed using the Proc GLM procedure in SAS and treatments means compared using the Least Significant Difference (LSD) test at the 5% probability level. Total water applied for each irrigation treatment was determined and the economic implications of each irrigation management strategy were calculated based on soybean yield, soybean selling price, and irrigation energy costs.

		Full	Double
Growth	<b>Growth Stage</b>	Season	Crop
Stage	Description	Study	Study
		D	ate
V2	2-trifoliolate	6/19/15	7/28/15
V4	4-trifoliolate	7/1/15	8/7/15
V6	6-trifoliolate	7/7/15	
R1	Begin Flower	7/8/15	8/17/15
R2	Full Flower	7/11/15	8/19/15
R3	Begin Pod	7/24/15	8/24/15
R4	Full Pod	8/4/15	9/2/15
R5	Begin Seed	8/16/15	9/8/15
R6	Full Seed	9/2/15	9/18/15
R7	Begin Maturity	9/25/15	10/20/15
R8	Full Maturity	10/7/15	11/5/15

*Table 3.* Soybean growth stages by date for the full season and double crop soybean studies.

Figure 1. Bi-weekly rainfall total at the study site in Harbeson, DE in 2015.



Time Period	Rainfall Total	Average Daily Rainfall
	Inches	Inches
June	6.00	0.20
July	2.50	0.08
August	2.25	0.08
September	4.20	0.14
October	6.45	0.22
July 1 to September 9	4.75	0.07
August 12 to September 9	0.21	0.01

Table 4. Total monthly rainfall, average daily rainfall, and time periods with low rainfall.

### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**In-Season Rainfall.** *Figure 1* shows the bi-weekly rainfall at the study site in Harbeson, DE in 2015. Overall, rainfall was above average in June (6.00"), average in September (4.20"), and below average in July (2.50") and August (2.25") (*Figure 1 and Table 4*). Rainfall total from July 1 to September 9 was 4.75", which averaged 0.07" per day over that time period (*Table 4*). Rainfall total from August 12 to September 9 was only 0.21", which averaged 0.01" per day over that time period.

**Irrigation Applied.** Bi-weekly and total irrigation applied for each treatment in the full season and double crop studies are shown in *Figure 2* and *Figure 3*, respectively.

*Full Season Study* – Irrigation applied for all irrigated treatments ranged from 5.3 in. to 9.6 in. (*Table 6; Figure 2*).

**Double Crop Study** – Irrigation applied for all irrigated treatments ranged from 2.4 in. to 6.6 in. (*Table 8; Figure 3*).

#### Soybean Growth.

*Full Season Study* – Soybean heights were measured on 7/21, 8/6, 8/25, and 11/4 (*Table 5*). NDVI was recorded with a handheld Greenseeker on 7/13, 7/21, 8/6, and 8/25.

*Plant Height by Irrigation Treatment.* All irrigated treatments, except no irrigation until R5/R6, resulted in similar heights on 11/4 just before harvest (*Table 5*). Heights ranged from 42.6 to 44.8 in. Height in the no irrigation treatment until R5/R6 was 38.6 in. The lack of rainfall in early to mid-August before irrigation was initiated had an effect on soybean height in this treatment. Height in the no irrigation treatment was the least at 30.4 in.

*NDVI by Irrigation Treatment*. NDVI recorded on 8/25 followed a similar trend to final plant height (*Table 5*). All irrigated treatments, except no irrigation until R5/R6, resulted in similar NDVI on 8/25 at

0.90 (*Table 5*). NDVI in the no irrigation treatment until R5/R6 was slightly less than all other irrigated treatments at 0.89. NDVI in the no irrigation treatment was the least at 0.87.

*Double Crop Study* – Soybean heights were measured on 8/14, 8/25, 9/11, and 11/4. NDVI was recorded on 8/14, 8/25 and 9/11.

*Plant Height by Irrigation Treatment*. All irrigated treatments, except no irrigation until R5/R6, resulted in similar heights on 11/4 just before harvest (*Table 7*). Heights ranged from 32.9 to 36.8 in. Height in the no irrigation treatment until R5/R6 was 27.3 in., which was similar to the no irrigation treatment at 27.6 in.

*NDVI by Irrigation Treatment*. NDVI on 9/11 in all irrigated treatments ranged from 0.89 to 0.91 (*Table 7*). NDVI in the no irrigation treatment was 0.86.

## Lodging and Yield.

Full Season Study - Soybeans were harvested on 11/16. Lodging ratings were taken before harvest.

*Lodging by Irrigation Treatment.* There were only slight differences in lodging between all irrigated treatments, which ranged from 4.3 to 6.6 on a scale of 0 to 10 (0=no lodging; 10 =maximum lodging) (*Table 6*). Lodging in the no irrigation treatment was 1.0, which was significantly less than all irrigated treatments.

*Yield by Irrigation Treatment.* Yield in the irrigated treatments ranged from 68 to 80 bu/A compared to 25 bu/A in the no irrigation treatment (*Figure 2*; *Table 6*). All irrigated treatments yielded significantly higher than the no irrigation treatment. The highest yielding treatment was no irrigation to R3/R4 then >50%, which was 80 bu/A. The full season irrigation at >30% moisture yielded similar to the full season irrigation >50%, which were 77 and 75 bu/A, respectively. Although not statistically different than all other irrigated treatments, except the highest yielding irrigated treatment, no irrigation to R5/R6 (68 bu/A) or limited irrigation to R5/R6 then >50% (72 bu/A) yielded the lowest.

Double Crop Study – Soybeans were harvested on 11/16. There was no lodging to rate.

*Lodging by Irrigation Treatment.* There were only slight differences in lodging between all irrigated treatments, which ranged from 1.5 to 3.9 on a scale of 0 to 10 (0=no lodging; 10 =maximum lodging) (*Table 8*). There was a trend that lodging was higher with irrigated treatments that had full season or limited irrigation to a specific stage than irrigated treatments that had no irrigation to a certain stage. The lowest lodging occurred in the no irrigation to R5/R6 then >50% (1.5) and the no irrigation treatment (1.4).

*Yield by Irrigation Treatment*. Yield in the irrigated treatments ranged from 40 to 53 bu/A compared to 40 bu/A in the no irrigation treatment (*Figure 3; Table 8*). All irrigated treatments, except no irrigation to R5/R6 then >50%, yielded similarly from 50 to 53 bu/A. The no irrigation to R5/R6 then >50% yielded 40 bu/A, which was similar to the no irrigation treatment.



*Figure 2. Full Season Study* – Soybean yield and total irrigation applied bi-weekly by treatment. Each color represents the total amount of irrigation applied during the date range listed. The top of the bar column represents the total irrigation applied for the season.

<sup>1</sup>Treatments with limited irrigation were kept at >30% available soil moisture (0% moisture = dry; 100% moisture = wet).

<sup>2</sup>Treatment means followed by the same letter are not significantly different.



*Figure 3. Double Crop Study* – Soybean yield and total irrigation applied bi-weekly by treatment. Each color represents the total amount of irrigation applied during the date range listed. The top of the bar column represents the total irrigation applied for the season.

<sup>1</sup>Treatments with limited irrigation were kept at >30% available soil moisture (0% moisture = dry; 100% moisture = wet).

<sup>2</sup>Treatment means followed by the same letter are not significantly different.

	Plant Height				ND	$VI^2$		
Irrigation Treatment <sup>1</sup>	7/21/2015	8/6/2015	8/25/2015	11/4/2015	7/13/2015	7/21/2015	8/6/2015	8/25/2015
No Irr.	$19.5 b^3$	30.6 c	30.6 c	30.4 c	0.81 c	0.86 d	0.86 c	0.87 c
No Irr. to $R1/R2$ then $>50\%$	20.0 b	37.0 a	42.0 a	43.2 a	0.88 a	0.92 a	0.91 ab	0.90 a
No Irr. to $R3/R4$ then $>50\%$	22.0 ab	36.3 ab	42.4 a	44.8 a	0.88 a	0.89 bc	0.91 ab	0.90 a
No Irr. to $R5/R6$ then $>50\%$	20.8 ab	32.3 bc	36.8 b	38.6 b	0.84 bc	0.89 c	0.90 b	0.89 b
Limited Irr. to R1/R2 then >50%	22.3 ab	37.6 a	42.9 a	43.5 a	0.87 ab	0.91 a	0.91 ab	0.90 ab
Limited Irr. to R3/R4 then >50%	20.5 ab	38.0 a	42.3 a	42.6 a	0.84 bc	0.91 a	0.91 ab	0.90 a
Limited Irr. to R5/R6 then >50%	22.2 ab	37.4 a	42.5 a	42.8 a	0.84 bc	0.91 ab	0.90 ab	0.90 ab
Full Season Irr. >30%	22.3 ab	36.9 a	43.0 a	42.9 a	0.86 ab	0.91 a	0.91 ab	0.90 a
Full Season Irr. >50%	22.9 a	37.9 a	43.8 a	44.7 a	0.87 ab	0.92 a	0.91 a	0.90 a
$LSD^4$	NS	4.22	2.63	3.44	0.03	0.016	0.012	0.011

Table 5. Full Season Soybean Study - Irrigation treatment effect on soybean plant height and NDVI.

<sup>2</sup>NDVI (Normalized Difference Vegetation Index). NDVI of 0 = no vegetation (minimum); 1 = full vegetation (maximum).

<sup>3</sup>Treatment means followed by the same letter are not significantly different.

<sup>4</sup>Treatments were separated using Fisher's Protected LSD test. NS=not significant.

				Total	Irrigation	Gross Income minus Irrigation		
	Lodging <sup>2</sup>			Irrigation	Energy			
Irrigation Treatment <sup>1</sup>	11/4/15	Moisture	Yield	Applied	$Cost^3$	\$6.00/bu <sup>5</sup>	\$8.00/bu	\$10.00/bu
	#	%	- bu/A -	— in. —	- \$/Acre -		\$	
No Irr.	$1.0 d^{6}$	11.7 ab	24.7 c	0.0 e	0	148	198	247
No Irr. to $R1/R2$ then $>50\%$	6.5 a	11.7 ab	74.4 ab	8.8 ab	44	402	551	700
No Irr. to $R3/R4$ then $>50\%$	6.4 ab	11.7 ab	80.4 a	8.0 ab	40	442	603	764
No Irr. to $R5/R6$ then $>50\%$	4.3 c	11.7 ab	68.4 b	5.6 cd	28	382	519	656
Limited Irr. to R1/R2 then >50%	6.1 abc	11.7 ab	76.4 ab	9.6 a	48	410	563	716
Limited Irr. to R3/R4 then >50%	4.5 bc	11.5 b	73 ab	7.3 bcd	37	402	548	694
Limited Irr. to R5/R6 then >50%	5.2 abc	11.6 ab	72.2 b	7.5 bc	38	396	540	685
Full Season Irr. >30%	5.3 abc	11.8 ab	76.6 ab	5.3 d	27	433	586	740
Full Season Irr. >50%	6.6 a	11.0 a	74.6 ab	8.4 ab	42	406	555	704
$LSD^7$	1.9	NS	8.2	2				

*Table 6. Full Season Soybean Study* - Irrigation treatment effect on soybean lodging, moisture, yield, total irrigation applied, irrigation energy cost per acre, and gross income at multiple soybean prices.

<sup>2</sup>Lodging was rated on a scale of 0 to 10 (0 = no lodging; 10 = maximum lodging).

<sup>3</sup>Irrigation energy costs were calculated assuming the cost to pump 1 acre-inch of water is \$5.00.

<sup>4</sup>Gross income was calculated based on soybean price, yield, and irrigation cost.

<sup>5</sup>Gross income minus irrigation energy cost at the expected soybean selling price.

<sup>6</sup>Treatment means followed by the same letter are not significantly different.

<sup>7</sup>Treatments were separated using Fisher's Protected LSD test. NS=not significant.

1 5			2	1 0							
	NDVI <sup>2</sup>										
Irrigation Treatment <sup>1</sup>	8/14/2015	8/25/2015	9/11/2015	11/4/2015	8/14/2015	8/25/2015	9/11/2015				
%											
No Irr.	$13.5 a^3$	21.0 b	26.2 c	27.6 b	0.76 ab	0.93 c	0.86 bc				
No Irr. to $R1/R2$ then $>50\%$	13.5 a	22.7 ab	35.2 ab	34.1 a	0.76 b	0.88 ab	0.91 a				
No Irr. to $R3/R4$ then $>50\%$	13.4 a	22.1 ab	32.2 b	32.9 a	0.78 ab	0.88 ab	0.90 ab				
No Irr. to $R5/R6$ then $>50\%$	14.4 a	21.4 ab	25.6 с	27.3 b	0.80 ab	0.86 bc	0.88 b				
Limited Irr. to R1/R2 then >50%	13.5 a	24.0 a	37.8 a	36.8 a	0.80 ab	0.90 a	0.91 a				
Limited Irr. to R3/R4 then >50%	14.1 a	23.8 ab	37.0 ab	36.0 a	0.77 ab	0.89 ab	0.90 ab				
Limited Irr. to R5/R6 then >50%	13.6 a	22.4 ab	35.4 ab	34.2 a	0.79 ab	0.89 ab	0.90 ab				
Full Season Irr. >30%	14.2 a	23.0 ab	34.9 ab	34.5 a	0.79 ab	0.89 ab	0.90 ab				
Full Season Irr. >50%	14.3 a	24.2 a	37.7 a	35.8 a	0.81 a	0.90 a	0.91 a				
$LSD^4$	NS	NS	5.4	4.6	NS	0.03	0.01				

Table 7. Double Crop Soybean Study - Irrigation treatment effect on soybean plant height and NDVI.

<sup>2</sup>NDVI (Normalized Difference Vegetation Index). NDVI of 0 = no vegetation (minimum); 1 = full vegetation (maximum).

<sup>3</sup>Treatment means followed by the same letter are not significantly different.

<sup>4</sup>Treatments were separated using Fisher's Protected LSD test. NS=not significant.

*Table 8. Double Crop Soybean Study* - Irrigation treatment effect on soybean lodging, moisture, yield, total irrigation applied, irrigation energy cost per acre, and gross income at multiple soybean prices.

				Total	Irrigation	Gross Income minus Irrigation		
	Lodging <sup>2</sup>			Irrigation	Energy			
Irrigation Treatment <sup>1</sup>	11/4/15	Moisture	Yield	Applied	$Cost^3$	$6.00/bu^{5}$	\$8.00/bu	\$10.00/bu
	#	%	- bu/A $-$	— in. —	- \$/Acre -		\$	
No Irr.	$1.4 b^{6}$	11.4 a	40.0 b	0.0 f	0	240	320	400
No Irr. to $R1/R2$ then $>50\%$	2.6 ab	11.3 a	50.0 a	5.5 ab	28	273	373	473
No Irr. to $R3/R4$ then $>50\%$	2.9 a	11.3 a	50.3 a	3.7 d	19	283	384	485
No Irr. to $R5/R6$ then $>50\%$	1.5 b	11.3 a	40.0 b	2.4 e	12	228	308	388
Limited Irr. to R1/R2 then >50%	3.6 a	11.4 a	53.0 a	5.6 ab	28	290	396	502
Limited Irr. to R3/R4 then >50%	3.9 a	11.3 a	52.4 a	4.9 bc	25	290	395	500
Limited Irr. to R5/R6 then >50%	3.2 a	11.3 a	51.3 a	5.0 bc	33	275	377	480
Full Season Irr. >30%	3.2 a	11.3 a	51.6 a	4.0 c	25	285	388	491
Full Season Irr. >50%	3.6 a	11.4 a	52.4 a	6.6 a	20	294	399	504
$LSD^7$	1.3	NS	6.3	1.1				

<sup>2</sup>Lodging was rated on a scale of 0 to 10 (0 = no lodging; 10 = maximum lodging).

<sup>3</sup>Irrigation energy costs were calculated assuming the cost to pump 1 acre-inch of water is \$5.00.

<sup>4</sup>Gross income was calculated based on soybean price, yield, and irrigation cost.

<sup>5</sup>Gross income minus irrigation energy cost at the expected soybean selling price.

<sup>6</sup>Treatment means followed by the same letter are not significantly different.

<sup>7</sup>Treatments were separated using Fisher's Protected LSD test. NS=not significant.